

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,449	12/30/2003	Richard D. Keeven	1671-0281	2371
28078	7590 03/20/2006		EXAM	INER
MAGINOT, MOORE & BECK, LLP CHASE TOWER 111 MONUMENT CIRCLE SUITE 3250 INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204			REIMERS, ANNETTE R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3733	
			DATE MAIL ED: 03/20/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_						
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/748,449	KEEVEN ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit .			
		Annette R. Reimers	3733			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHI0 - Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. D period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut- reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin- ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply b will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to e, cause the application to become ABANDO	ION. e timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 L	December 2005.				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)[☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims		•			
4)🛛	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-32</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>17-23</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	⊠ Claim(s) <u>24-32</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 December 2003 and 19 December 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by						
the Exan	niner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmer	nt(e)					
_	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ	nary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/19/05. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:						

Art Unit: 3733

Ą

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 17-23, drawn to a method for establishing a gap between a femur and a tibia at a knee joint, classified in class 606, subclass 86.
- II. Claims 24-32, drawn to a system for establishing a gap between a femur and a tibia at a knee joint, classified in class 606, subclass 90.

Newly submitted claims 17-23 directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another method, i.e. for the hip or elbow joint.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 17-23 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103 (a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Objections

Claims 28-32 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 28, line 8, the word intramedullary is misspelled. In addition, claims 26 and 30 are directed to the method not the system. For examination purposes, claims 26 and 30 have been interpreted as being directed to the system. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 24, 27, 28, 31 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ritter et al. (U.S. Patent Number 5,464,406).

Ritter et al. disclose a system for establishing a prosthetic gap between a femur and a tibia at a knee joint comprising an instrument having a positioning member 30, that defines a femur facing side and a tibia facing side, the positioning member includes a first coupler, 34, including a bore defining an internal groove, 36, and having a guide slot, 32, and a resilient member, 40, and a connector member, 38, having a first mating feature, an augment, 96, having a second coupler, 86, including a pin, 100, that cooperates with the first coupler to fix the augment to the positioning member, and a femoral resection guide, 70, having a second mating feature, 78, that mates with the first mating feature of the instrument (see figures 2, 8, 11 and 12). The instrument further includes a handle, 52, extending from the positioning member (see figure 17).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 25, 26, 29 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ritter et al. (U.S. Patent Number 5,464,406) in view of Fraser et al. (U.S. Patent Publication Number 2002/0116009). Ritter et al. discloses the claimed invention except the resilient member being an o-ring. Fraser et al. disclose an instrument containing an o-ring as a resilient member. Fraser et al. further shows that the resilient member can be a threaded region or an o-ring, and he teaches that these are functionally equivalent structures (see page 5, column 1, paragraph 0062, lines 9-15). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the device of Ritter et al. with the resilient member being an o-ring, in view of Fraser et al., as such would merely constitute a substitution of functionally equivalent structures.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 17 have been considered but are most in view of the fact that the method claims, i.e. 17-23, have been withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. In addition, examiner

respectfully disagrees with applicant regarding the instrument, 30, of Ritter et al. not having a guide slot, since the instrument of Ritter et al. does have a guide slot, i.e. 32.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Annette R. Reimers whose telephone number is (571) 272-7135. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on (571) 272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/748,449

Art Unit: 3733

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AR

AR

EDUARDO C. ROBERT